

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/028,680	12/28/2001	Chin-Fu Cho	MRI957-630	3694
4586	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			WORKU, NEGUSSIE	
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/028,680	CHO, CHIN-FU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Negussie Worku	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. c of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. BO) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10/14/ 2005.				
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
	$\frac{r}{2001}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apof the priority documents have been anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/028,680

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claimed subject matter in claim 2 is not clear what applicant to trying to disclose "atop"?
- 3. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2626

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witte et al (USP 6,831,759).

With respect to claim 1, Witte et al., discloses a carriage module for a scanner, (a scanner module 60 of fig 1, mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see col.2, lines 40-50) comprising a case (housing of fig 1); an image sensor (optical sensor 72 of fig 5, col.3, lines 10-15) arranged in the case (housing 62 of 5); a mirror set (mirror 68A –68F of fig 5) arranged in the case (housing 60 of fig 5) and used to guide a reflected light from a document to be scanned to the image sensor (image sensor CCD 72 of fig 5, col.3, lines 8-12); a lens set (lens 70 of fig 5) placed between the image sensor (image sensor 72 of fig 5) and the mirror set (Mirrors 68A-68F of fig 5) and used to focus the reflected light from the document (document positioned on platen 54 of fig 1) to the image sensor (optical sensor CCD 72 of fig 5); the case (housing of fig 1) composed of a first shell (housing 62 of fig 5) and a second shell, (scanner hosing 80 of fig 5) said first and second shell being telescopically coupled each to the other (62 and 80, coupled each other as seen in fig 1) wherein the second shell (80 of fig 5) has a larger length than that of the first shell, (62 of fig 5) the second shell (80 of fig 5) is movably arranged in the first shell (62 of fig 5) and can be moved along a horizontal direction, see fig 5, the scanner module is driven to the extreme right position, col.4, lines 15-25).

With respect to claim 2, Witte et al., discloses the carriage module, (a carriage module 60 mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see

Application/Control Number: 10/028,680

Art Unit: 2626

col.2, lines 40-50), further comprising a light source (64a and 64B of fig 5) atop the case.

With respect to claim 3, Witte et al., discloses the carriage module, (a scanner module 60 mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see col.2, lines 40-50), wherein the image sensor is a charge coupled device (CCD), see (col.3, lines 10-14).

With respect to claim 4, Witte et al., discloses the carriage module, (a scanner module 60 mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see col.2, lines 40-50), wherein the mirror set (mirror 68A-68B of fig 5) comprises a plurality of reflection mirrors in the case, see (col.3, lines 8-11).

With respect to claim 5, Witte et al., discloses the carriage module, (a scanner module 60 mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see col.2, lines 40-50), wherein the lens set comprises a lens (lens 70 of fig 5, col.3, lines 10-13).

With respect to claim 6, Witte et al., discloses the carriage module for a scanner, (a scanner module 60 mounted for the scanning movement along axis 56 as shown in fig 1, see col.2, lines 40-50), wherein the lens set (lens 70 of fig 5) further comprises focusing unit to refocus the reflected light, see (col.3, lines 10-13).

Response to the Arguments

6. Applicant's response to the Official office action dated July 28, 2005, has been reviewed and respect fully considered.

However, Applicant's arguments discussed in page 5 and 6 are not found persuasive, because the prior art's teaching as disclosed in col.4, lines 15-25, read on the amended limitation of the application, as set forth in the above Office action.

Therefore, Examiner believes the amended claimed limitation read on the prior art used to reject in last first Office action, and therefore, office action is maintained for the reason the claimed limitation does not over came the reference used against the application.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/028,680

Art Unit: 2626

Page 6

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Negussie Worku whose telephone number is 571-272-7472. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on 571-272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Negussie Worku

Dec 16, 2005

MARK WALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER